Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/566,946	KIM ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	FRANCIS M. LEGASSE JR	2878
Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>FRANCIS M. LEGASSE JR</u> .	(3)	
(2) Attorney Leon Radomsky.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 July 2008</u>	Time: <u>5:45 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 72 and 74		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The examiner contacted Attorney Radomsky to discuss an examiner's amendment to claim 74. The examiner suggested to Attorney Radomsky to place the newly added limitation of claim 72 into claim 74. By adding the new limitation to claim 74 the case would be in a condition for allowance. Attorney Radomsky conferred with his client and he accepted the examiner's amendment.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)
	-	== - /